

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

No parties have filed objections to the R & R. In the absence of objections to the R & R, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the Magistrate Judge's recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199-200 (4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation'" (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note)).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error and therefore adopts and incorporates by reference the R & R [ECF No. 20] of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiffs' motion to remand [ECF No. 9] and **REMANDS** this action to the Court of Common Pleas for Florence County, South Carolina, for further proceedings. The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to mail a certified copy of this Order and the R & R to the clerk of the Florence County Court of Common Pleas.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Florence, South Carolina
August 17, 2016

s/ R. Bryan Harwell
R. Bryan Harwell
United States District Judge